

special collections



douglas Library

queen's university AT kingston

KINGSTON ONTARIO CANADA

73.			





THE

Contra-Replicant,

HIS

COMPLAINT To His Maiestie.



Petition for Peace is presented to the Parliament by some thousands of Citizens; the Petition sindes a peaceable answer; and that Answer (as I shall now set forth) is opposed by an unpeaceable Reply, but that time may be the better husbanded, and indifferent Readers the better satisfied, before I undertake the Replication it selse, I

defire all men to be preadvertised of some few things.

Schollars have been very active in this unnaturall warre, both in rayling and fomenting it; the tongue hath made some wounds as well as the hand; and the sword had never been so keene, had it not been whetted by the Pens but Schollars are not active on both sides alike, (to shew their partiality, and interest in this cause) 'tis only on the Kings side, where the Pen and the Launce are both brandisht in the same hand. And it is wisely ordered, for the Kings suterest will be the more hopefully pursu'd when Schollars second it with their Arts, and the Schollars success will be the easier gained, when the King seconds them with his Armes. But of all kindes of Learning Orzetory is most telyed on: and of all kindes of Oratory, that is most made use of, which is nost wantonly painted and dressed, and borrowes most some oftentatious Art, and is therefore most unsit for businesse, either of Law or State, because it is most fit to inveagle, and deceive with its salse graces and sourishes. The tongue of Gneas was very advantageous to Pyrrhus in subduing Townes and Cities, but 'cis likely more of manly Logick then of es-

1.1042.70

feminate Rhetorick flow'd from that tongue of his, or else Townes and Cities in those daves were governed by very illiterate men. None but the culler fort of people are to be catcht by pure Oratory, the wifer fort are well enough instructed, that when the Fowlers pipe playes most melodiously. the thare is coucht most pernitiously. That man is very unworthy to judge of Papers that cannot distinguish betweene foundations and superstructions. reasons and Assumptions; that cannot discerne betweene prooving of premiles, and purlying of conclusions: and yet the chiefest fraud of the Orator is to passe over that part of the businesse which requires most proofe, without proofe at all, and that which is most darke without light at all, and that which is most important without mention at all. 'Tis enough for the Orator to blazon the bloudy shield of warre in generall, when 'tishis sole charge to dispute who are the guilty causers and promoters of this particular warre: *Tis enough for him to take it for granted, or at most upon his owne credit to affirme it. That the Kings party of Papilts and Arminian Clergy men and delinguents were first assayled by this Parliamene, without cause or danger; and fo per faltum to proceed to venemous invectives, and curied censures against the Parliament: when his maine taske is to proove either that a Parliament may in no case whatsoever desendit selfe, or that this warre in the Parliament is not defensive. If wee peruse all the papers which have come out in the Kings behalfe, under his name, or otherwile; we shall find nothing proper to be infified on, but these two points, That defensive warre is unlawfull in Parliaments, or that this warre in the Parliament is not defensive; and yet nothing leffe hath been infisted on; nay though the Fabricke bee yast that is built and raised thereupon, yet that which ought to support all the fabrick is unterly neglected; so in this reply (now to be examined) if much be affirmed, yet little is prooved, and if any proofe be made 'tis of sequels. not of premisses; 'tis of assumptions deduced, not of Thefes deducing: and is plaine and obvious to all that the Replicant here pleads not as if he flood at the barre, but pronounces sentence, as if he sate on the Bench: We may justly therefore suspect that he aymes not at the satisfying of wise men, but the dazelling of simple men, and that he would not daube with his sucusses every line, and embellish with his Caressing Phrases every sentence, if he did not affect the pompe of Mr Rhombia the Pedant, rather then the gravitie of a Statist. The next Art of our Replicant is to impose those his nude averments, which are most false and improbable, with most boldnesse and assurance, affailing as it were thereby the beliefe of other men with armed violence. That it may passe for current that Farnham Casile was surprized contrary to the faith, and Treaty of Sir William Waller (with whom no Treaty was ever entertained, nor spoken of,) it must be further averred, That our side was false at Winchester, false in Torkshire, false every where; but these things cadens

endem facilitate negantur, qua affirmantur. Another advantage of the Kings party is by multitude of writings, invective and Satyricall: both the Universities are become mints of defamatory disgracefull papers, the Regiments of the Kings Pen-and-Inkhorne men, are more and fuller then of his fword-men; and though too many papers are scattered of both sides, yet those of the Kings are most of their serious, and done by able men, whereas those of the Parliaments side for the most part are ridiculous done by Sots, or prevaticators to the disadvantage of the partie. After these premonitions I come to the Replication it felfe. The tubstance of the Petition was That the Parliament would tender such Propositions for Accommodation, as might be accepted with honour to his Maiesty, and safety to the Kingdome. The substance of the Answer was that the Parliament was truly and heartily defirous of a fate and honourable Accommodation, and for an inflance of that their defire would leeke nothing from the King, but to enjoy the due effentiall Priviledges of his highest Court of Law and policie, which priviledgemust needs qualifie and firthem rather to judge, then to be judged by any other inferiour partie. That a totall submission to the King, he being so farre addicted to a faction of Papists and haters of Parliaments, could neither besase nor honourable. That to submit to the Kings party were to submitto the foes of Religion and Libertie: foes irreconcileable, and such as ever had been dangerous, and were now made more furious by bloud against the Parliament. That if the Petitioners being but a part of London. and that but a part of England, Inould in flead of an honourable fafe Accommodation presse the Parliament to a dishonourable unsafe submission to the Kings party, it were a breach of publike trust in the Parliament to yeeld therein, the Parliament being trusted by the whole Kingdome, that if a just fic Accommodation be intended the King ought to truth the Parliament in part, as well as the Parliament ought in part to trust the King. That both parties being equally difarmed, the Protestants being leffe countenanced by the King, and more obliged in Conscience by oathes and agreements, would be more obnoxious to disadvantages, then that party wherein so many Papists are predominant. That though the Parliament might submit, yet a faire Accommodation it could not obtaine, except the King would equally condescend thereunto. That if the Petitioners had found out a more sate and honourable Accommodation then the Parliament had yet discovered; (forthat was possible) the Parliament would embrace it; That if none such could be found out, the affections and Judgements of the Parliament ought not to becenfur'd or distrusted. That it behooved the Petitioners to addreffe themselves by the like petition to the King, if no want of affection to peace were apparent in the Parliament, as certainly none was.

In contradiction and opposition to all the severall poynts in this Analysis,

what the Replicant hath fet forth, wee shall now see in the same order. 1. The great contrivers of our sad divisions, which abuse the weake reafon of the people, to keepe up an unfortunate misunderstanding between Kina and Subject are not named by the Replicant; but they are clearly pointed out to be the Chiefe Lords and Commons in Parliament : for he faith, Every new Vote of late bath been a new affliction; and he makes Pennington and the Citty Lecturers to be but lourney-men Rebels under them: and even this Hellish flander he venteth under the name of the Petitioners, whom he stiles the most considerable persons of the Citty: and at the same time affirmeth that the people generally are of honest affections. And the Answer to the Petition in which, the words (he faies) are (efter then oyle, though the matter of it be poison of Aspes, he attributes only to some (hiefe Engineers of mischiefe in the House, though it carry in it the Anthority of the whole House. Here is a wonder beyond all wonders. A few factious persons in Parliament over-awe the major, better and wifer part in Parliament; and by a few factious Instruments in Citty and Countrey abuse the major, better and wifer part there also intothe most miserable distempers and calamities that ever were; and thoughthe honest generality begin to grow wifer and are instructed by the sence of their miseries, and by other advertisements from loyall Papists and Prelates. and other pious Courtiers and fouldiers to shake off their few Tormentors: Nay, and though the King himself has not onely publishe the most eloquent and subtill Declarations to disabilite the people, that ever were (himselfe being the most beloved and honoured Prince that ever was for his indulgence. to Liberty and Religion) but hath also advanced a most puissant and victozious Army to releeve their undeceived wreaches; yet the incantation holds, no humane force either of Armes or Arts can dissolve it. The miracles of Moses had an impression of divine vertue upon them, and did therefore trisumph over all the Egyptians spels: but in this case, Mr Pym, with I know. not what infernall engines difforts and wrefts all the Orbes of a Kingdome from their naturall motions; and yet no divine Art can refult him. 'T was never beleev'd before that any but God could work contrary to nature, but now it must be beleeved. But is it so apparent that the Parliament is averse from peace ? yet saies the Replicant, For withdraw the fuell, and the fire is foon extinguish: Les the Parliament not forment the ill humour (by supplyes. ofmen, Armes and Ammunition) and the wound will beale of it (elfe. In the pezition, nothing but an Accommodation, sase and honourable waspretended. but now we see a meere submission is intended in this replication.

Tis not prooved: That the Armes of the Parliament are unjust; 'tis not prooved, that it may be fase for the Kingdome to profirate, and subject Parliaments to the discretion of that saction which now has bereav'd us of the Kings presence and savour, yet begause the Replicant will take upon him to condemne Parliaments; we must also allow of his Judgement.

But

But 'its further say'd by the Replicant, that even Accommodation it selfe is not pleasing in Parliament, witnesse that speech of one, I like not daubing: and that of another, I hate the name of Accommodation. Hee which hates the name of an Accommodation as it has been used of late to signific a total submission, may love a true Accommodation in it selfe: and he that likes not the daubing of those which under the colour of Accommodation ayme at nothing but division and differtion amongs the people, may more heartily affects safe, and honourable agreement, then the Replicant himselfe.

Can the Parliament expresse zeale to peace better then by contracting all its rights and priviled gesinto one compendious proposition, for the setting of union? To purchase true peace, the Parliament desires nothing but to retain the meere being of a Parliament; that is, to be the supreme Court of King and Kingdome. And is can stand with the essence of such a Court to be arraigned, tryed and sentenced by a saction of Papists, Prelates, Delinquents,

and Souldiers, the Parliament will submit to that Conditionalso.

2. When we expresse our feares of the Kings party, and therefore deny fubmission thereunto as dangerous and dishonourable, the Replicant tels us further, we are required not to submit to our fellow subjects, but to the King only: and he tels us further, that the Lawes are the best security, and those we shall enioy, and to claime any higher securitie is to assume the power of Kings. How farre the Lawes of the Land have been sufficient to preserve to Parliaments, and the be ter part of loyall Protestant, subjects their rightfull portion and interest in the Kings savour, for these 17. yeares last past, is knowne to all; The Lawes of Scotland could not secure the better and greater part there; The Lawes of Ireland have not faved the Brittaines and Protestants from Massacres there: and yet certainly both those Kingdomes are inticled to Lawes of as ample benefit, and vigour as ours now is. But what speake we of Common Lawes, when even at this instant such a free subjects house is burnt and plundered by the Kings party, in derision and despight of the Kings owne Proclamation and particular Placard granted for the safegard of himselse and his family? As our Judges preyed upon us heretofore in matters of State, and Divines oppressed us in matters of Religion: so our Martialists now have a power of spoyling above the generall Law, or any particular protection. If the King thinke fit to grant safety to such a person, or such a Towne, it must be provided alwayes that such a Dutch or Scotch Commander, who conceives himselfe more skilfull in war then the King give his approbation withall; for my part I conceive it more honourable for the King to say that he cannot, then that he would not save his people from all those cursed indignities and cruelcies which have been multiplied upon us during this warre, and before by his adherents. As for Lawes therefore we must take notice that they may be imployed either to the benefit or preju-A 3, dice

dice of any Nation, and that they themselves do require to be regulated by further Lawes. No Nation can be free without a three-fold priviledge: The first is in the framing and passing of Lawes. The second is in declaring and interpreting Lawes. And the third is in executing and preferving Lawes inforce. Where the King is fole Law-maker all things are subject to his meer discretion, and a greater bondage then this never was nor can be; the English lie not under such base servitude, their King claimes but a part in the Leg slative power: and yet neverthelesse of late by discontinuing of Writs for the fummoning of Parliaments, and by the right of a Negative voyce in Par-Tiaments, and an untimely diffolying of Parliaments, the peoples interest in this Legislative power has been much abridged and suspended. In the like manner also if the sole power of declaring Lawes were so in the King as that he might himselse give Judgement, or create Judges at his pleasure without imposing Oathes of trust on them in behalfe of the people, or should deny redresses upon Appeales from them, our Legislative power would be vaine and uneffectuall to us. For my part I hold it an equal thing, whither just men make Lawes and unjust interpret them, or unjust men make Lawes and just interpret them. When it was just in the King of late to impose what caxes hee pleased, and as often as he pleased upon us for the preparing of Armadoes all over England. Our Nation was fallen into a most desperate thraldome, yet the fault was not then in the Lawes, but in the Judges, and fuch as had a power over the Judges, Lawes as they are deafe, and by a ffrict inflexibility more righteous then living Judges, to they are dumb also, and by their want of Language more imperfect then the brests of men. And indeed fince the Lawes of God and Nature, though knowne to all, yet do not utter to all the same sense, but remaine in many plaine points strangely controverted, as to their intent and meaning; how can we hope that any humane Lawes should satisfie all mens understanding in abstruse points, without some living Key to open them? the vast Pandects and digets of the Law sufficiently testifie, that in the clearest Law, which mankind could ever yet discover there are dark and endlesse Labyrinths, wherein the weaker fort of lay men are presently lost, & the learnedst advocates are tediously perplext.

In the last place also if the sole power of inforcing and executing Lawes were so vested in the King, as that he might use it to the costation or perversion of all justice, and the people were in such case remedilesse, the interest in making and declaring of Law were invalid, and sustrate in the people, and the King might still inslave or destroy them at his pleasure. The Replicane sayes, That under a Monarchy much must be trusted to the King, or else it mill be debased into Democracie. Tis confessed much must, but all must not be trusted: the question then is, how farre this much extends in a Monarchy of

fuch a mixt nature as ours is in fuch times as ours now are?

In absolute Monarchiesall is trusted to the King: in absolute Democracies all is vested in the people: in a mixt Monarchy more is trusted to the King, then is referved to the people; and in a mixt Democracie more is referved to the people, then is derived to the Prince. In all formes of Govern ment the people passes by way of trust, all that power which it retaines not, and the difference of formes is only in degree, and the degrees are almost as various as the severall states of the world are, nay the same state admits of often changes many times, fometimes the people gaines, and fometimes looses, sometimes to its prejudice, sometimes not; and sometimes injuriously, sometimes not; but the degrees of ordinary power confist in the making, declaring and inforcing Law, except when forraigne warre is, and then it is expedient that a greater and more extraordinary trust be reposed in one, and this we see in Holland, the most exact Republicke, and in England the most exact Monarchy in the world. But it is a leud conceit of our Royalists now adayes to attribute to our King an absolute power over the Militia of this Land at all times alike, not diffinguishing between Civill warres, wherein he may be a party, and suspected; and between a forraigne warre, where he is neither a party nor suspected: for if our Kings will plead such a trust to our disadvantage, 'tis just that they produce some proofe for it, and relye not upon meere Commonuse, 'cis true in case of Forraigne invasion, 'tis expedient that the King be farre trufted, and yet even so, if the King should conspire with forraigne forces, or neglect to protect us against them, contrary to the intent of his truft, we might refume the common native Poffe, or Militia of the Land, for our owne defence without his consent. And much more reasonable is it in time of Peace, or Civill warre, if the King will deny his influences, or withdraw his presence, to obstruct Law, or will by his Negative voyce, or by force seeke to disable his highest Courts and Councels, and reduce all to arbitrary government: more reasonable is it, that the people secure to themselves the Law, their chiefest portion and best patrimony. For as the King cannot by Law deny to the people their undoubted interest in passing of Lawes; so neither can be defeat the same interest, or destroy the benefit thereof by misinterpretations, or by mis-executions of the same Lawes. No Nation can injoy any freedome but by the right and share which it has in the Lawes, and if that right and share doe not extend to the preservation of Lawes in their true vigour and meaning, as well as to the Creation of them, 'tis emptie and defeafible at the Kingsmeere pleasure, Much is to be trusted to the King: true, but all is not (we see) trusted, some power we fee is of Necessity to be referred in free Nations, such as the King allowes us to be, and there is a difference also in the word Trust: for there is an arbitrary, and there is a necessary Trust, and the one may be resumed; the other not upon meere pleasure. Without all question, the wiser and juster Princes

Princes are effeemed, the more the people ever trust them, but this makes no difference in the Legall and fundamentall Trust of the Kingdome, nor can infirme credulous, and easie Princes pretend alwayes to the same degree of power astheir Ancestors have held, unlesse they can prescribe to their vertues also. Queene Elizabeth might with safety and expedience be trusted further then King lames, even in those things where the Law did not trust her: but this is the misery of subjects, all goes from them, but roching must returne: The Court of a Prince is like the Lions den in the Fable, all the beafts leave prints and steps adversam but none retrorsum. But the Replicant further affures us. That tis very easie to assigne the bounds of these severall trusts: for the Lames and Customes of the Land determine both: nor will bis Maiestie (he saies) require any new trust to himf-ife, or deny any old trust to ms. Our great Divines were to bee admired for their profound knowledge in the mysteries of Law were they not Courtiers: but now the King is presum'd to comprehend omnia jura in scrinio Pestoris: and so they by their residence at Court discerne all the secrets of Law and State in specule Imperis, just as our heavenly Saints doe read all things else in speculo Trinitatis. Our gravest Sages of the Law are much divided in points of lesse moment and intricacie, and as for the precise metes and bounds, where Soveraignty and Liberty are sever'd, and the direct degrees of publike trust in all cases, and at all times, they looke upon them as grand difficulties, scarce fit to be debated but in the facred Court of Parliament; and yet Clergie-men think them but the first rudiments of all knowledge, obvious to very A.B.C. Darians. They alwayes boast of the knowne Lawes of the Kingdome, in all disputes they referre us to the knowne Lawes and Customes of the Land, as if Judges were things utterly needleffe, and the fludy of Law meetely superfluous. The Treshault Court of Parliament, of whose determination our learnedst Judges will not thinke dishonourably, cannot pierce into these known obvious Lawes, and yer every Sophister can: the Fountaines of Justice are now exhausted, and yet the Cisternes remaine foll. But saies the Replicant, If you leeke further lecurity then the knowne Lawes, the people will see that under the name of free subjects, you take upon you the power of Kings. Sir, we defire to have our Lawes themselves secured to us, which you may turne like our owne Canons against our selves, if righteous and prudent Indges be not granted us, and all over-awing violence so prevented, as that the fruit of their Iudgements be cleavely and intirely conveyed to us. And fuch securance is not incompatible with Monarchy; for it is no more impeachment to Monarchy, that the people should injoy then make lawes; that they should be sharers in the power of declaring and executing, then in the power of pailing & framing lawes; but it is on the contrary an evident impeachment

(9)

to liberty, if an equality of these three Priviledges be not at least sha-

red with the people.

3. As for the diametricall opposition in Religion and State betwixt us and our irreconciliable enemies of the Kings party. The Replicant maintaines divers things: and of the Papifts and Delinquents he fayes, That we have nothing against them, but State Calumnies: That the same justice may governe both, if wee will submit to Law. He beseeches us to tell what Religion we would have : if that which the Martyrs sealed with their blood, our deversaries practise it, and desire severe punishment upon all such as transgresseit: he imputes to us a new Creed: he sayes th King is to look upon friends or enemies in a Law notion only, that Subjects must not give Lawes to Princes courtesses: That our enemies, if they be Traytors, are to be tried at the Kings Bench, the house of Commons having no right of Judicature.

The major part of our enemies are certainly either Papists, or else fuch as are either over-awed or outwitted by Papists. Tis true, some part of our enemies knowes the truth of the Protestant Religion, and the desperate antipathy of Papistry; yet having in them the true power of no Religion, but serving Mammon only, for their worldly interests sake, (with which severity of Parliaments will not square) they adhere to Papists, little regarding what Religion stands, or what falls. Another part out of meere ignorance is carried away with the name King, and the Professions of the King, not at all looking into reason of State, nor being able to judge of the same: but the last sore of menare not so considerable, either for their number, or power, or

malice; and therefore I shall not insist upon them.

The maine Engineers in this Civill Warre are Papists, the most poysonous, serpentine, Iesuited Papists of the world. All the Papists in Europe either pray for the prosperity of this designe, or have contributed some other influence and affishance to it. This warre was not the production of these two last yeares, nor was England alone the field wherein the Dragonsteeth were lowd. Scotland was first attempted, but the Protestant party there was too strong for the Papists, and such of the English as joyned with them. The conspiracies next broke out in Ireland, where the Popish party being too strong for the Proestants, the Tragedy has been beseeming Papists, it has proved beyond all paralell bloody; and if shipping were not wanting, they might spare ome aids for their fellow Conspirators here in England.

England is now in its agony, bleeding and sweating under the sad onfliet of two parties, equally almost poized in force and courage.

The Papits themselves in England amount not to the twentieth arithmeticall part of Protestants, and yer one papist in geometricall proportion may stand against twenty Protestants, considering the papists with sogether with their adherents, and considering also what they are that act overthem, and who they are that act under them. What power the Romish Vice-god has in the Queen is known, & what power the Queen has in the King, and what power the King and Queen have in the prelatical Clergy, and the Clergy in them reciprocally, and what power the King, Queen and Clergy have on a great number of irreligious or lukewarm protestants (now made Delinquents and so further engaged) as also upon all papists, & how all these have interests divided & intwined & how restlessly active they alare in pursuing their interests is not unknown.

Besides Ireland is a weakness, & Scotland is no strength to usual popish countries France, & Spain &care likely to annoy us, and the protestants in Denmark, Holland &c. have not power to restrain their Princes from combining further against us. In this deplorable condition we have no friends to complain to, and yet this Replicant tels us, we have no enemies so complain of; our very condoling against papists and delinquents, he tearms State calumnies, and flanders that have lost their credit by time, and are confuted by experience. O thou black mouth, more black then thy coat, hast thou no more remorse for all that protestaut blood, which delinquents have enabled papifts to shed in Ireland, and for all that protestant blood which armies of papists and delinquents arenow ready to thed in England? if all this blood finde no pity in thee, yet is it an ofsence to thee, that it extorts teares and lamentations from us? O thou unbowelled fanguinary wretch, if God bethe God of protestants, he will judge these cruckies of papists, and their abettors: and if he bethe God of papists, we know our slanders and calumnies cannot deceive him; wee submit our selves and our cause to his revenging hand. But thou wilt say, the Kings party in this marre are good Protestants, and me are Anabaptists, &c. The tyranny and superstition of Bishops has driven some of our tender and ftricter protestants into utter dislike of Ceremonies, and that pompous, or rather super fittious forme of Church discipline which has beene hitherto used in England. Some of us defire an alteration of somethings in our Lyturgy, by advice of : learned and uncorrupt Synod: others perhaps scruple Church musick and any fet forme of divine service, to be imposed of necessity, liking better the fingle order of Scotland. What new Greed is there in al this, or what change of Religion were this, if there were any grea numbers of men so opinionated? But it is well enough knowne to

our Adversaries, that there is not one man of both Houses of Parlialiament that is violent against all publick set formes of prayer, or that forme which is now in use, or that defires any alteration of Do-Arine in Essentialls, nay not of Discipline, except in things very few and inconfiderable. And it is well knowne that the Parliament, as it would loofen the rigour of I.aw in some scruples for the ease of tender consciences, so it abhors utterly all licentious government in the Church, and all by-wayes of confusion. In the City the King has instanced in Pennington, Ven, Foulk, and Mannering, as notoriously guilty of Schilme, and doubtleffe they were named for want of worle: try these men now by the old Creed, or by the nine and thirty Articles: may, examine them concerning the Common prayer Book, and it will foon appeare how farre they are strayed into Brownisme, or any other Schisme: it will appeare how they are wounded in schismatick, and all protestants in them, and the true Religion in usall: it may be they have not put pluralities, or the Parliamentary Votes of Bishops into their Creed; it may be they have referred no implicite faith for Convocation acts, and Canons, which the Replicant may perhaps judge very irreligious; but they hope this never had any anathema pronounced against it in the old Church by any Councell before Antichrifts dayes. Let not railing passe for impleading and condemning, and we will all be tried in the same manner, and if any new Creed be found among it us, differing in substance from the old, let out adversaries themselves give and execute sentence upon us. If Brownists could be as well distinguishe and nominated in our Army, as papiffs are in the Kings, or were really as many and as far countenanced, we would distrust our cause; whereas we now beg no otherwise the bleffing of God upon our Armies, then as we are enemies both to Popery and Brownism. Dares our Replicant makefuch a prayer? no, somtimes he owns Papists, and somtimes he seemingly dif. owns them : speaking of the Kings party, once he saies, As for the effablishereligion we will become suiters to you, shat you will severely punish all persons what soever that transgress against it. Papists certainly have transgrest against our religion; if the rebellion in Ireland be a transgression, or if the inftanttaking up of arms here against the parliament bea transgreffion; yet feeat the same time, when they call us to punish the papifts, they themselves arm & enablopapists to punish, nay to destroy us, is this all the ingenuity we shall expect? well, to our law notion : it is argued in the next place, that a Papist fighting for the King, though in a notion of Theology, he way be accounted an enemy quatenus a Papift, yes in understanding of Law, hee was accounted the Kings friend, as to his fighting : Priest squires Doctrine just, beethat fighte for the King,

(12)

orrather at the Kings command, let the cause be what it will, he is the

Kings friend.

When Saul gave a furious command to fall upon the Priests of Tehovah; amongst all his servants, he had no entire loving freind but Doeg: so when his unnaturall rage incited him to take away the life of Ionathan the whole Army that defended Ionathan were his foes, and if it had proceeded to parties (as it had, if Saul had had as many Idumeans in his fervice as King Charles now has) those onely which had been the execrable instruments of the Kings Tyranny, had been the Kingsfriends, and hadfought for their King: so those six hundred men which adhered to David, out of a pious intent, to preserve his innocent soule from the bloudy hands of Saul, and his three thousand impious murderers; and the Keilites also, if they had been faithfull to David (as they ought to have been) were guilty of Treason and drew their swordsagainst their But I expect now that the Replicant infift upon the Iustice of the Kings cause, as not taking armes to master the Parliament, but to defend themselves against the Parliament: this if it could be proved, would over-ruleall, but it being in question, and as resolutely denied by one fide, as affirmed by the other; the Replicant must evince by read fon all that he expects to gaine from us, Tis not so probable that a Parliament should invade a King, as a King a Parliament: 'Tis not so probable, that a Parliament should be missed, and have ends to enrich it felse by oppression as a King, "Tis not so probable, that that Army which sonfifts all of Protestante, should be so adverse to the reformed Religion. as that which admits and favours all Papifts and Delinquents: Tis not so probable, that that Army which is raised and payed by Parliament; that is by the flower of all the English Nobility and Gentry, should fight for Atbitrary government, and against propriety, liberty and priviledge of Parliament; as that which hath nothing confiderable, but rapine and pillage to maintaine it. If many evidences of facts, many pregnant proofs, and many lively circumstances of time and place, did not absolve the Parliament of trayterous conspiring against the Kings Crowne, Dignity, and person; and convince Digby, Percy, Iermin, and divers of the Kings and Queensparty, of conspiring against the priwiledges of Parliament, and the lives of many of our noblest Pariament men. If all other arguments did faile, the very invitation of Papists to the Kings Standard, & the rifing of the Papifts with fuch generall confent now, that all Ireland is almost lost to the papists, and some hopes wereelse to recover it, would sufficiently assure me, that religion and liberty stand in more danger of the Kings party, than of the parlia-MCBISS.

(13)

I could not with more cleare and cheerfull confidence die for the truth of the protestant Religion, then for the Iustice of the parliaments cause in this warre, noscitur ex Comite, &c. Let the papist plead for the Delinquent, and the Delinquent for the papift, those ends which have so closely cemented, and kindly incorporated both together, make a fufficient discovery to me, as well what the papist, as what the Delinquentis. And this age must prove monstrously unnaturall, in producing a wonder never heard of in all former ages, if Iustice doe now rest on the Kings side; For surely, no King ever till now, having a just cause was opposed therein by the major and better part of his subjects; much leffe was it ever feene or heard of, that any King in a just cause was deferted by the majority of his Orthodox subjects, and supported by the unanimous aid of such, as hated histrue protested Religion, God fend the King to lay these things seriously and pensively to heart, for fince none of his wife and worthy Ancestors ever yet had cause to wage war either with the Collective or Representative Body of the People: so none at all ever in any warre sided with a false Religion, or against the true, till this unhappy day; in the King Charles is the first, and I hope will be the last, and therefore this is worthy to make a sad impression upon his foule. But our Replicant will tell us, That the Kings Instice may yet govern and ame both parties by the same Lam, what seever their antipathy be. The King has Law, and power by the Law to protect the better partie, and to provide for the peace of both parties: But not with-Anding that Law and that power the poore British Protestants in Ireland have beene left unprotected, and lamentably exposed to a generall Affassination: And had they not beene betrayed by their vaine confidence in the Law, and in the Kings protection, they perhaps might have found other meanes to defend thenselves; therefore it is no refuge or comfort to them now, to hear the name of Law proclaimed & reiterated, when as things hapned there, it has been the very shelfe and rock whereon the Protestants have been milerably bulyed and wricked; shen pardon pray, if the same name of Iustice also found but harshly at this time in our eares: when papifts which have destroyed our religion in Ireland, are rayled to preserve it in England; and protestants which were fending fuccours and supplyes into Ireland, are in the instant invaded here in England for the better suppression of Popery both here and in Ireland; Tisa strange kinde of affurance or ioy to us, to see the names of Religion, Liberty, and parliamentary priviledge, stamped upon our coyne, or interwoven in our Standard, when at the same time, we see thesame Coyne imprested for the entertainment of a Popish Army:

and the same standard marching against the representative body of our Nation, and the supreame Court of Justice in our State. Nay, and the strange rime that is taken for the righting of Religion, Law and Liberty amongst us, makes our assurance, and joy the lesse triumphant, for we plainely see, that as the season now is, no one Protestant falls here by the Kings sword; but by the same stroak three Protetelfants at least are cut off in Ireland. And laftly, the manner of rightting Religion. Law and Liberty, is most strange of all, for open warre is not now sufficiently destructive, though it be spread all over the face of the Kingdom; subterranean plots are brooded further in the datk, and by privie intelligence, the whole City of London is to be engaged in a tragicall conspiracy, to murder it selse in one night: What the benefit therefore is of Law and Power, and Justice for the disabling of Papist and Delinquents, and for the safe guarding of loyall Protestants weall know: But when papilts and delinquents finde countenance, and the true religion is abandoned, and left obnoxious to mischiese by the perversion of Law, Power and Iustice; the names alone will not availe us, but our Replicant further saith, Subjects must not give Lame: to Princes courtesies. In matters of a private nature Princes are absolute, but not so in publike affaires, where the publike safety or liberty in touched. In their own pallaces Princes may dispose of Offices, bu in the State if they make Patents prejudiciall to their revenues, to thei prerogatives, or to the peoples interest; the Iudges shall pronounce them deceived in their grants, and make the deeds void and null in Law. Princes cannot alien any parcells of their Crownes, Hull may not be transferred to the King of Denmark, nor Portsmouth to France, nor Fail mouth to Spaine, for Kings have no sole propriety in such things, and th same reason is in the superintending Offices of Royalty itselfe; they are not transferible at pleasure: Some Princes (to use the words of Tacions are so infirme and credulous, that they remaine justis alienis obnoxii, and non modo Imperii sed libertatis etiam indigent, they are so enslave sometimes to their basest flatterers, that their very Diadems are as i were aliend and made prostitute to seducers, and these their flatterer and seducers (in the expressions of the same Tacitus) Minore metn e mijere pramio peccant.

The unhappy Protestants in Ireland were of late undone by the vast power which was put into the hands of the Earlof Strafford, and all the Ecclesis sticall, if not Civill disturbances and distractions which have a late insected these three Kingdoms, were in great part caused by excess powerove: the Church, delegated to the Archbistaop of Canterbury

Withou

Without doubt when the foundation of Popery was first to be laid, it lid not prosper and advance so much in fix score yeers under the first Popes, as it did in fix yeeres here under Canterbury: And Nero nimselfe in his first three yeeres did not attaine to so much insolence and tyranny as Strafford did in one yeare.

The Kings freedom therefore in favours will never justifie the preferring of such men, to an unquestionable command, nor the subjecting helives, liberties, and foules of so many millions of Religious Protestants to their corrupted disaffected wills: Neverthelesse, for ought I can see we have since but changed one Strafford for another, and one Canterbury for another: Only to stop our complaints: This Replicant tell us, That the courtesies of Princes are not to be questioned by subjects. The Queen has now attained to a great heigth of power as formidable as the is to us, in regard of her fex, in regard of her Nation, in regard of her disposition, in regard of her family, in regard of her Religion, and lastly, in regard of her ingagments in these present troubles; some think shee has an absolute unlimitable power over the Kings sword and Scepter; which if it bee so, no end of our feares and calamities can be, no propositions can profit us, no Accommodation can secure us. If the King himselfe were a Papist, he would yetlook upon us as his naturall subjects, but when his regall power is secondarily in the hands of a Papist, to that Papist we appeare but as meere hereticks without any other relation of subjects: By secondary power also, a stroak is given with more secresse and security; so that there is the leffe feare in the party striking to break and retard its violence: It iffues like a bullet, whose line is not direct, but with some elevation in the ayre, or with some windings in the barrell of the gun, whereby it doth more execution at a further distance. Therefore our Kings many and dreadfull Oaths and Vowes of fincerity in the Protestant Religion are not fatisfying, if in the mean time any of his Kingly prerogative bee shared with such as are not sincere in the Protestant Religion; it were farre safer for us that hee would sweare for his party, then for himselfe.

But our Replicant will never have done with the Law, hee fill tells us, That every man is to bee tryde by his Peeres the Lords in the Lords House, and the Commons at the Kings Bench, and though the House of Commons have no right of Indicature, yet there is another tryall for Treasons, and our mine point in

difference at this time is concerning Treason.

The Parliament is nothing else but the whole Nation of England by its owne free choice, and by vertue of representation united in a more narrow roome, and better regulated and qualified for consultation then the collective body without this art and order could be. The Lords and Commons make but one entite Court, and this Court is vertually the whole Nation: and we may truly fay of it, that by its confent Royalty it felfe was first founded, and for its ends Royalty it felfe was for qualified and tempered, as it is; and from its supreame reason, the nature of that qualification and temperature ought only to be still learnd, and the determination thereof fought. For who can better expound what Kings and lawes are, and for what end they were both created, then that unquestionable power, which for its own advantage meerly gave creation to them both? If Kings and nationall lawes had any humane beginning, if they be an Spaninal Aliene, as the Scripture layes they are, they had not their being from themselves; and from nations collectively taken they could not have their being; for nations so are not congregable, nor consultable, nor redeemable from consustion (pardon the hardnesse of words) and therefore it must follow, that both Kings and laws were first formed and created by such bodyes of men, as our Parliaments now are; that is, such Councells as had in them the force of whole Nations by consent and deputation, and the Maiesty of whole Nations by right and representation.

The enemies of Parliaments seeing this not to be gain-said, and feeing that it must needs follow, that that cause which first gave the being, and prescribed the end of that being, must needs have most right and skill to limit, and direct the manner of that being: they feek to divide the coactive from the representative body of the people: they feek to divide between the two houses of Parliament: and these seek to divide between the head and the body of the Parliament. They perswade the multitude, that they have entrusted the Parliament only with their purses to give away subsidies, and replenish the Kings coffers; but not to settle their rights and franchises, and to make knowne the bounds of Prerogative, and restraine the unnatural encroachments or erruptions of the same. If the community have beene agricved to complaine, or almost accuse, is a sufficient priviledge of the house of Commons, and this, butto avoid further repining, shall not be granted them. Tis pity that our Doctors doe not study the Law surther; for with a little more industry, they might perhaps finde out, that every private man as well as the house of Commons, or the whole Community out of Parliament, as well as our Knights, and Burgesses in it, may RIVE (17)

give the King money, and if occasion be, preserve an accusation against fuch a tyrrannicall Lord or favourite; well, if such Rabbies, and expounders can fatisfie any of the unworthy vulgar, and some Gentlemen, and Lords who have spirits below the Yeomanry of England (for fuch I have seene too many since 3. Novemb 1640, they shall be no further disabus'd by me. In the next place, They attempt to work a difunion between the Houses, the Lordsshall have a power of Judicature over their Members So they will exclude the Commons from any part therin; and upon condition that they will so farre disclaime them, as to leave them obnoxious for tryalls at the Kings bench; This fitting of the Lords and Commons in feverall Houses, does not prove them severall Courts. nor does the observance of particular Priviledges in either House, and not laying all things common between both, prove any independance of either: doubtleffe they are like the twines of Hippocrates, they both must live and die together. In former ages judgement was so given upon the greatest Delinquents, at that the Commons were parties in the judgement: And fure, whilft they were Judges over Lords, themselves were not subjected to inferiour Courts: the Lords then knew they could not indure any indignity to fall upon the Commons being but distinct parts of the same Court, but it would reflect upon themfelves; and the Commons knew that the honour of the Lords was an addition to themselves, whilst the Curiatii stand close together, their three adverse Combatants are too weake for them; but when they are divided by unwarinesse in the encounter, they prove all three too weake for one of their enemies. I will not make any comparisons, or fay whither the Lords or Commons deferted by the other suffer more; I will only fay, that nothing but fatall want of policy, can divide or diminish their mutual love and correspondence.

In the last place, division also is raised betwint the King and Parliament; there is a generation of men which seeke not the good of King and Parliament; nor could prosper if the King and Parliament were united as they ought to be. These men because their suggestions cannot prevaile to alienate the Parliament from the King, apply all their indevours to alienate the King stom the Parliament: their perpetual suggestion are, That the greatnesse of Kings is eclipsed by Parliaments, That there is in Lawes themselves a kind of enmity, and something that is inconsistant with royalty, That Kings are bound to seek nothing but themselves. That Kings san seeke nothing in themselves, so nobly as the satisfying of their wills, especially

specially when their wills are fixt upon things difficult and forbidden. N vertheleffe, there is nothing but fallety in all thele suggestions. For Princes are the Creatures, and naturall productions of Parliaments, and so are their Prerogatives as has been fet forth, and every rationall and naturall thing lovethits own off-spring, and that love is rather ascending then descending, it is liker the tap of the root, then of the branch, viz. The people are more inclinable to love Princes, then Princes to love the People : There is likewile a neare confunguinity, and reflexive benevolence of aspects between Lawes and Princes, they are both of the same descent, and tend to the same end, and both are inviolable, whilst they are affistant each to other; the enemy of both has no hope to prevaile, Si attribuat Rew legi, quodlex attribuit ei. Tis retrograde allo to nature, that Princes whom God has fee to feed his people, and not without the creation of the people, should think themselves more valuable then that people; or that they should confine their thoughts to themselves as Gods, despising the universality, when God has called particular subjects their brethren. and forbidden them to lift up their hearts above any of them.

Laftly, that Princes which have as other men, finfullaffections, and are subject more then other men to finfull temptations, and are accountable to God therefore, in a higher degree then other men, should think it inglorious to deny their own irregular wills, and to submit to Lawes. Parliaments, and the Publike prayers and advice of their subjects, 'tis a thing scarce credible. The most expert Navigator preferres the guidance of his Needle before his own conceit; the most tried Engineer wholly relies upon the certainty of his rule. All Artifts how rare foever apply themselves to their Instruments, absolutely renouncing their skill and experience in comparison of Mechanick directions. Only Princes chuse rather to erre with their own fancies and fancy feeding flatterers, then to go right with publick advice, and no mischiese, which can happen to themselves, and millions of others by their error, seems so unkingly to be suffered, as a retractation from error. But our Replicant has more particular objections against Parliaments, As first, That they have no cognizance of matters of State: secondly, That in matters of grace and pardonthey have no power or right: the King in these, has an Arbitary Sole anthority.

Lawes ayme at Instice, Reason of state aimes at safety; Law secures one subject from another, Law protects subjects from insolence of Princes, and Princes from sedition of Subjects, so far as certaine rules may be gi-

ven and written; but reason of State goes beyond all particular sormes and pacte, and looks rather to the being, then well-being of a State; and seeks to prevent mischiese sorraign as well as Domestick, by emergent Counsels, and unwritten resolutions. Reason of State is something more sublime and imperial then Law: it may be rightly said, that the Statesman begins where the Lawyer ceaseth: for when warre has silenced Law, as it often does; Policy is to bee observed as the only true Law, a kind of a dictatorian power is to be allowed to her; what soe ever has any right to defend it selfes in time of danger is to resort to policy in stead of Law, and it is the same thing in the Replicant, To deny to Parliaments reconsset to reason of State in these miserable times of marre and danger, as to deny them self-defence.

Many men, especially Lawyers, would fain have Law alone take place in all times, but for my part I think it equally destructive to renounce reason of State, and adhere to Law in times of great extremity, as to renounce Law, & adhere to Policy in times of tranquillity. Nothing has done us more harme of late, then this opinion of adhering to Law only for our preservation: & the King and his party though they are too wise themselves to observe Law at all, yet have wrought much upon the simpler fort of our side by objecting against us neglect of Law. Certainly as our dangers now are, it would be good for us to adde more power to the Earle of Essex (if he be thought the worthicst man of Trust amongst us, as he has deserved no lesse estimation) for till I see him lookt upon, and served as a temporary Dictator, and the bounds of his Commission to bee only this; ne quid detrimenti capiat Respublica cavere: I shall never think the Parliaments safety sufficiently provided for.

To frame any Arguments, or reasons, or to offer proofes, that the Representative body of the Kingdome is a Counfell of State, rather then a Court of Justice, would show me as foolish as the Replicant: it is impossible any man should doubt of it, that does think the being is to be expreserted before the well being; or that whole Nations have any interests either in their owne being or well being. Let our Adversaries triumph in their owne conceits, and when in the same case there is both matter of Law and State (as in the case of Hull, where the King had an interest rather in State then Law) let them upbraid us for declining of Law: I shall like that best which they dishke most in us: I wish we had not observed Law too sarre, for they would never so fairs recom.

C . 2

mend it to us, did they not know it might be sometimes unseason-

As for alts of grace and pardon. I shall not much quarrel thereabout, the Parliament can best advise the King how far it is fit to passe a Law of oblivion in these generall times of confusion. And the Answerer of the London Petition affirmed 1 othing, but that their advise therein was likely to be most wholsome, which can hardly be contradicted.

And the Law is cleare enough that though the execution of Law be farre intrusted to the King, and there is a dispensing power in Him, so farre as he is supposed to be damnified or to be interested in the penalty; yet where crimes have been committed against the whole State, the King ought not, and where particular men have been injured, the King cannot suffocate, frustrate, or deny Justice. 'Tis against his Oath, 'tis against publike Liberty to deny satisfaction by stopping execution.

4. But London is the most considerable part of the Kingdome and the Petitioners the best part of London; and the most to bee valued in other parts, are inclined to the same request for peace, therefore the Parliament

ought to yeeld.

When our Adverfaries please, they can alledge numbers for their advantage, as if the Major part of the people were cordially on the Kings fide: when they please they can give you reasons why the major patt of the people are inchanted, and therfore cannot be on the Kings fide; yet we all know the major part cannot be both for and against the King at the same time in the same case. Besides divide England into 3. parts, and we doe not allow London to be the major of those three, and divide London into 3. parts, and the Petitioners cannot make it appear, that they are full one third part; this must be attributed to our Replicants boldnesse meerly. That which is manifest, is, that most of the faulty, and decayed Nobility, and Gentry, are of the Kings party, and so are the Lees of the people; but almost all of the Yeomenry (which is the most considerable ranke of any Nation) and a very choyse part both of Nobility and Gentry at this time fide against the King and the Papists: And it is impossible for any rationall man to imagine, that the King has not infinite advantages against the Parliament, if his cause be generally apprehended, as the more just: But lense teaches us the contrary, that no King in the unjustest cause that ever was, had a weaker party then this King, confidering what courles he has taken. King has an Army, and fuch an Army as is able to force and overawe

all places where they lye, with swords drawne over the Pesants: but eursed be that man for my part, that next after God, would not referre the arbitration of this difference to the publike vote of the people. And yet we know that there is a great deal of servilty in the people, and that for the most part, they looke no surther then to present grievances; like Esau in his Pottage bargain, chusing rather to dy for ever of a Lethargy then to sweat for a time under a Feaver.

5. All Controversies are determined either by the Dye of Force, and chance of War (for so Nations have ever censur'd that kind of tryall) or else they are concluded by Lawes justly interpreted, or else there is a middle way (which we call Accommodation) and that is commonly when to avoid the mischiese of the Sword, and the uncertaine intricacie of Judgement, both parties by mutuall agreement condifcend equally to depart from the rigor of their demands on either side, and so comply, accommodate, and meet together upon termes as equall as may be. Wherfoever then the word Accommodation is pressed, (as it is now with us in the London Petition, for the word Submission is not at all used) 'tis most absurd and contradictory to exclude a yeelding and compliance of both sides. See then the manifest unjustice of our Replicant, who when the matter of Accommodation onely is in Treaty, yet urges us to a meere submission, and taking it for granted that he is Judge, and that he has determined the matter for the King; therfore the King ong ht not to condifiend, or comply at all, or leave any thing to the Parliaments trust, but must wholly be trusted in every point.

6. The King requires to have preserved to him for the future that compasse of Royall power which his Progenitors have been invested with, and without which he cannot give protection to his Subjects.

The Parliament desires to have preserved to the Subject, peace, safetie, and all those priviledges which their Ancestors have enjoyed, without which they cannot be a Nation, much lesse a free Nation. Now the Militia and Posse of the Kingdome must be so placed, and concredited, and that the King may be as equally assured of it, as the Parliament, or else without all Accommodation the King must be less to the Fidelity and duty of Parliament, or else the Parliament must be wholly less to the Kings discretion, or rather to the Kings party. In this case what shall be done, the Parliament pleads that the King has resigned

himselfe too far into the hands of Papills and Malignants, from whom nothing can be expected but perfidie and cruelty; the King objects. that the Parliament is beforted with Anabaptists, Brownists, Familists, and impostors, from whom nothing can be expected but disloyals and confusion. If the King here will grant any fecurity against Papists and Malignants, the question is what security he will give; and if hee will give none, the question is how he can be said to feke an Accommodation; so on the contrary, if the Parliament will undertake to secure the King, as that is granted, then what must that securance be. I will now take it for granted, that the King ought to abjure for the future the giving of countenance to Papists, or being counselled or led by them in State matters; as also to disband his Forces, and that the Parliament will doe the like, and abjure all dangerous Schismaticks and Hereticks. But for a further tyeto strengthen this abjuration, and for a securance against Malignants, who are not yet so perfectly distinguisht on either fide, what shall be the reciprocall caution or ingagement? Shall the King have all Forts, Ships, Armes, and Offices in his dispose? Shall the King assigne to what Judges he pleases, the division of our quarrels? or shall he trust his Parliament in the choise and Approbation of persons intrusted? I will not dispute this, I will onely say, that the nature of an Accommodation requires some condescending on both sides, and it is manifest injustice in the Replicant to prejudge the same, as unbeseeming the King more then the Parliament, and in all probability the Parliament is likely to condificend upon more disadvantageous termes then the King; and is lesse lyable to be missed, and lesse aptio break a trust, then any one man.

7. To shew that the Parliament is disaffected to an Accommodation, and the King not & that therefore a Petition to the Parliament is more proper & feafonable then to the King. The Replicant bitterly reviles the Parliament as having punished some for seeking peace, and as having rejected the Kings gracions offers of peace with termes of incivility below the respect due to a King. What more damnable crimes can any man load the Parliament with, then with rebelling against the King sirst, & after rejecting officers of peace with soule and scandalous language? Yet this the Replicant free-ly grants to himselfer and as if hee were placed in some tribunal above the Parliament, where all allegations and proofes were utterly superflueus, he proceeds to sentence very imperiously. For ought I know I am as remerable and unquestionable a judge in this case as hee is, yet I date:

eondemn nothing, but rash and presumptuous condemning of authority without proofes; and for that I have Scripture it selfe for my proofe. As for the Kings comming to Brainford in a mist, and during a Treaty, and there surprising men unprepared, and retiring agains upon the drawing up of our forces, that these are instances of seeking peace, and shewing favour to the city is not so cleare to my understanding as to the Replicants.

8. But sayes the Replicant, you grant that the people may perhaps find out a better way of Accommodation then you have done, and you allow them to petition when you faile of your duty: And this must need so verthrow the strongest and most popular argument of your innocence, and authority.

The Parliament did never assume to have an absolute freedome from all sailes or Errors, nor does detract from other mens knowledge, it vindicates nothing more then to bee lesse obnoxicus to deceit and perversenesse then other Courts, and that the rather because it distaines not

any advise or reason from any parties whatsoever.

The Answerer demanded from the Petitioners a modell of an Accommodation to bee framed by them, for the better help and instruction of the Parliament. The Replicant satisfies that Demand; Heemakes two propositions thus; I That the Parliament shall as readely consent to the Kings Rights as the King consents to theirs. 2. That the Reigne of Queen Elizabeth: may be the measure to determine those rights. In this the Replicant is very reasonable; for we freely submit to both his propositions: but he is not so Politick as he thinks; for a submission to these generall propositions, will not determine any one of our Particular debates. Let us be safe, as wee were in Queen Elizabeths dayes, and let us be secured of our safety by the same meanes, as Queen Elizabeth secured us; That is, by shewing no countenance to Papists (much lesse admitting them as Counsellors, least of all as Governors in her highest Councells) let wise men generally loved and revered fit at the Councell Table, and let the Publick advise of Parliament sway above all private; let our Lawes be in the Custody of learned, and uncorrupt Judges, and let our Militia be under the Command of such renowned Patriots, 25 face preferred in her dayes; and our Accommodation is more ample, and beneficiall, then any we have yet desired. But our Replicant will suggest, Be you such Subjects as Queen Elizabeth ruled, and King Charles

(24)

Charles will treat you, at Queen Elizabeth did her Subjetts: doe you right first to the King and the King will not faile to doe right to you. Here is now the maine Question indeed, which rightly solved, would solve all, whether these deplorable miseries, which have of late vexed and grieved our three Nations, have rather hapned from the Change of the People, or from the Change of the Prince.

And most certaine it is future Ages will conceive no great doubt, or difficulty to be in this Question: but now it is mortall to dispute it: it is scarce lawfull to suppose any thing herein, Though supponere be not ponere but by way of supposition, I will only plead thus: if the three Nations have by I know not what fatall posture, and Congresse of stars, or superior Causes, declined from their allegiance, and degenerated into unnaturall obitinacy, and turned recreant, and contrary to the sweet Gening, which was ever in their Ancestors, they are bound to submit to the King & to put in him as full and absolute a Trust, as our Parents did in Queen Elizabeth: but on the contrary, if miscarriages in government, and the pernicious Counsells whereby our Princes have been guided, have overwhelmed us in these inundations of blood, and mischiefes; the Alteration, and Reformation, ought to begin first in the King, and He cannot expect that we should trust him so farre as we did Queen Elizabeth untill we are assured as fully of his protection as we were of Queen Elizabeths; but suppose there have been faults on both sides, can nothing but the sword rectifie our faults? I never yet heard that any Prince was forced to a warre with any confiderable part of his own Subjects, but that he had an unjust cause, or might have determined the strife without bloud by some Politick Complyance if he pleased. It is not so common or probable in nature, for Nations causlefly to rebell, as for Princes wickedly to oppresse: and when armes are taken up on both fides, it is not fo fafe for Subjects to yeeld, as for Kings; nor can Subjects so easily reduce Kings to a peaceable agreement, and ceffation of Atmes, as Kings may Subjects for the sparing of blood. Kings can make no composicionalmost dishonourable, or disadvantagious; but Subjects being falne into the indignation of revengfull Princes are necessitated commonly to this choyce, either to come forth with halters about their necks, or to fight upon great disadvanrages. as Rebellious as the Subjects of Rehoboam were, a kind, may, a Civill Answer might have retayned them in theirallegiance, and

(25)

and yet if their termes had been full of infolence, and their Capitulations more unreasonable, yet Salomon's Councellors would have perswaded Rehoboam to yeild to necessity, and to master that multitude by some finenesse of wit, which he could not Tame for the present by violence; And certainly he shewed not himself the Son of Salomon, that would not purchase an hereditary Empire over a gallant Nation by being a Servant for one day, that would quit his own policy, because the multitude had quitted their civilitie, that thought that Complyance which should gaine a scepter more dishonourable, than that Contestation which should absolutly forseit one. How easy had it been for the great, the wise, the terrible Philip of Spaine, to have prevented the totall defection of so many goodly Provinces in the Netherlands: and if it could not have been done without fomething which is ordinarily accounted below, a K. would not that have been more honourably done by him, then the calling away of so brave a Dominion, and the calling after that so much blood & tresfure? That King of France was far wifer, and sped better, which satisfied himselfe in his strugling through many difficulties with this Maxime, That a Prince can loose no honour by any Treaty, which addes to his Dominion. Infinite instances might here bee alleadged, but they are needlesse. God send our King truly to represent these things to himselfe, and rather to trust plain, then pleasing advice. God open his eyes, that he may fee how honorably, and eafily he might heve preuented thefe calamities, and may yet stanch our bleeding wounds, and how much. more difficult it is and w fafe for the Parliament to compose things unlesse he or rather his Party be equally disposed to hearken to peace, : Hen. the 4. was as wife, as valiant, and as just a Prince as ever was Crowned in England, and no Prince ever had by experience a more persect understanding of the English Genius: yet he in his death bed (where diffimulation uses to be laid aside) in his last advice to his own fon and heire (whom it was not likely he would willing. ly deceive) deciphered the English Nation to be generally observant of their Princes, and whilft they were well treated, and preferved in Peace and plenty, most incomparable for their perfect inviolable loyalty, but of all nations the most unquiet under such a harth rule, which should render them servile, poore and miserable.

This he had abundantly prooved, and found true by the wofull deposition of his unpolitick Kinsman and predecessor Rich, the 2.

and his own prosperous, and glorious Raigne, and many strange traverses of Fortune, which throughout his whole Raigne. He was forced to encounter withall. His scope therefore was to recommend to his sens charge this Nation both as dutifull, and as generous, of whose loyalty he needs not to doubt, so long as his luftice was not to be doubted. O that this most Excellent Prince could bee againe summoned from his peacefull Monument to repeate the fame advertissements in our Soveraignes eares, and to justle out of his presence these bloud thirsty Papists and Malignants, which use all possible art to staine the peoples loyalty, and to candy over all his actions, intending thereby not to reconcile the people by procuring grace from the King, but to confound both King and peo ple, by fostering enmity between both? I will only adde this by fuch instigations, as our Replicant and his fellow Courtiers use, the King cannot be happy, but by the uncertainty of war, that is by making his subjects milerable : but fuch Traytors as I am, if our advise bee entertained, propose to the King a more certaine way to happinesse by Peace that is by making his subjects yet more happy; but our Replicant fith, the King is willing to condescend to any thing, but you will admit of no reconciliation, except the King will remove those servants, whom he had found me ft honest and fauthfull in his affluitions, and prefer you undeferving in their place. Here is the grand knot indeed, we oppose fuch as have been the Counfellors or instruments of such and such designes: the King, faith, they are his friends, and he cannot abandon his friends: 'tis confest, the King ought not to abandon his friends, but the King may erre in the knowledge of friends: and as he ought to prot & his friends, in whom he cannot err; so he is not bound to protect such as he meerly thinks his friends, and in whom if he will believe the voyce of the people, he is very much deceived. We have as much interest in the Kings friends and Counsellors as we have in our Laws, Liberties, lifes, any thing, for we know we can enjoy nothing if the King shall owne those for his friends, whom we know to be our enemies, and account of these as good Counfeile, which we know to be treasons against the State, that Prince that will be arbitrary and rely upon his owne meer opinion, and discretion in the imployment of Counsellors and M nisters of State, having no regard to publique approbation therein, is as injurions altogether as he that will admit of no other Law, judge, nor rule . in

in the propriety and liberty of his subjects, but his owne brest

only.

It will be replyed, not fancy, but sense teaches this, that he that obeyes the Kings commands, and fights under the Kings Standart is more a friend than be that disobeyes, and fight against the King : this is demonstration, no error can be in it.

I answer no, 'tis most false, Scripture and reason manifest it to be

most falle.

Doeg did obey Saul, when all his other servants denyed obedience, yet even in that obedience he made himselie culpable, and his master abominable, whereas the other servants of Saul were du-

tifull in withholding an unlawfull duty.

So those 2000 Souldiers which marched out after Saul to take away the life of just and uncondemned David, they were infituments in a base differvice to Saul, they are not to be justified for this fervice; whereas thole 600 valiant men which accompanied David in his dangers and afflictions and were ready with their fword drawn to guard that innocence, which Saul himself should have guarded are not to be accounted falle to Saul but true to David.

And the meere presence of Saul on the one side, did not make the cause unjust on the other side, nor if himself had fallen by rushing oftentimes, upon defensive weapons, could that horrid guilt of his death, have been imputed to any but to himself. Cursed therefore, yea thrice curfed be these miscreants, which ingage the King in this war against the Parliam not without hazard of his sac ed Person, if they be private persons and have not sufficiency to decide this great controversie betwixt the King and Parliament.

Formy part I dare not pronounce sentence, neither for nor against the Parliament, as the Replicant without all scruples doth in all places; but I may fafely fay, that if the King does, though in perfon, unjustly wage war against the Parliament; the E. of Essex and his Army may far more lawfully fight in defence of that supreame Court, than David and his followers did for the protection of one

innocent private man.

- And taking the controversie as undecided, 'tis not apparent who fight for or against the King, and the King may himself as lawfully claime to be fole supreme judge over all single and universal persons, and over all Laws and Courts, and in all cases whatsoever, as to claime any man a Traitor for serving the Parliament in this war; and this if he claimes, what Priviledge remaines to Parliament, what limies

D 2

limits remaine to the Prince: what liberty remains to the Sub-

iects?

'Tis not only then trayterous, but ridiculous in the Replicant to assume that sucremacy to himself, which is denyed to the King by con lemning the Parliament and justifying the Kings party in all passages of this War, we when we except against the Kings party, asperse not at all the Kings person, and the Law it self makes ever a distinction betwixt the King and his agents: though our Replicant will not allow any fuch feverance: but betwixt the P-rlam. and its instruments no such severance is except for the worse, for there poor of author quam actor, but sayes the Replicant. 'Tu the unhappinesse of the King that he hath a party, 'tis the fault of the Parliament, he defires and ought to have the whole. See here 'tis the Parliaments fault that Percy, Digby, Winter, Mountague, Crofts, Killegrew, and many other of the Queens devoted Creatures are preferred in the Kings favour before the Parliament. And 'tis the Parliaments fault, that Rivers, King, and the Titular Count of the Talatinate with some other Irish Papists latly come over have the honour of the Court, command of the Camp, and spoyle of the Kingdom to reward them, whilst Manchester, Hambden, Hellis, Iim, Strod, Halelrig, are defigned for the block, and that upon such. charges, as shall intangle almost all the most eminent Gentry and Nobility, as well as them, That this is the Kings unhappinesse is aggreed, but that this is the Parliaments fault is not proved by the Replicant, and we are not bound alwayes to abate him proofes inmatters of this confequence. Doubtleffe we are likely to expect great. performances from Parliaments hereafter if it Mall be guilt in them that they are rejected, and if they shall be rejected only because other more favoring Courtiers pretend better affection to the Kings private advantage.

The actions of Popish and Malignant Courtyers, cannot reprefent them more friendly to the K.than the Parliaments. No honour or prosperity has followed hitherto there; on all their difference is that their single professions of Love are more credited, than such as are credited by the Votes of the Generality, and attestations of Par-

liament.

Howfoever though many men do think, private advise and te-stimony, to be more valuable, and fit for Princes to hearken too, then publick, I never till now heard, that it was a fault or blamoin, Parliaments to be lesse valued or accepted then private persons.

To

(29)

To what purpose is it said? that the King ought to have the whole: and t is our complaint that the King will not accept of the whole: and t is the Replicants complaint, that the King is not suffered to inout the whole. This shall reconcileall: let the whole be received as he whole; and every part as it is Major, or Minor be entertained in grace and equipage proportionably, and this difference is composed.

TO But sayes the Replicant, the Kings party is the more just, and herefore to be preferred, and this is to be judged of by rule; as thus, the Parliament intrenches upon our Liberty by imprisoning without cause, coording to pleasure and claimes to be unquestionable therein: The Pariament intrenches upon Religion by committing our best Professors, and lanting Sectaries in their stead, the Parliament proceeds according to eason of State, not Law: and this places an arbitrary power in them;

and makes ordinances equall to acts of Parliament.

Heare in a breif summe all that ever has been spoken, or can be poken against the Parliament; and all this is grounded upon an unranted proposition, that the Parliament has no right to defend it life. For if it be lawfull for both Houses of Parliament to defend hemselves, it must of necessity follow, that they may and must imprison, levye moneyes, suppressed feditious preachers, and make use of an arbitrary power according to reason of State, and not contine themselves to meere expedients of Law. Enough has been said of this, it is impossible that any wise man should be opposite herein, and the Kings party have more recourse to reason of State, and arbitrary power by far than we have.

But if it be faid, that the Honses abuse arbitrary power in imprisonng, levying moneyes &c. causelessly; this is a false calumny, and not o be granted without particular and pregnant proofes, of which he Replicant produces none at all, were it not for this great noise ad boast of Arbitrary power, our Academians would want matter of tuffe their innumerable pamphlets withall: and the fillyer fort

I Malignants would want fuell to feed their enmity.

And yet we know, Arbitrary power is only dangerous in one nan or in a few men, and cannot be to in Parliaments at any time; nuch leffe in times of publick diffresser then it is not only harmer esse, but necessary.

The House of Commons without the other States bath had an aritrary power at all times, to disp se of the treasure of the Kinglome, and where they give away one subjidy, they may give 20;

) 3 an

and where they give 500001 at one subsidy they may give fifty

times so much, and all this whether war or peace be.

Yet when did either King or Subject complaine of this arbitrary power? Nay if any parts of the Kingdom have repined at the abuse of this arbitrary power, and refused to pay subsidys assessed by the house of Commons, what Kings would suffer it? when was it not held a good ground of War? so both Houses have an arbitrary power to abridge the freedom of the Subject, and to inlarge the Kings prerogative, beyond a measure; they may repeale our great Charter, the Charter of Forrests, and the petition of right if they please, they may if they please subject the whole Kingdom for ever to the same arbitrary rule as France grones under, nay, & they have often been with sorce and all manner of sollicitations almost violented into it: and yet notwithstanding all this, we are neither terrifyed nor indangered at all by this arbitrary power in both houses.

To have then an arbitrary power placed in the Peers and Comm. is naturall and expedient at all times, but the very use of this arbitrary power, according to reason of State, and warlick policy in times of generall dangers and distresse is absolutely necessary and inevitable: but 'tis a great offence, that both Houses should make ordi-

nances generally binding.

They, which would take from us all meanes of defence; if they could dispute us out of the power of making temporary Ordinances had their wils upon us, for defence without some obliging power to preserve order, and to regulate the method of defence, would be vaine and absurd; but this is but one branch of arbitrary power and reason of State, and to wast time in proving it necessary in times of extremity, if desence be granted lawfull, were childish and ridiculous,

I have now done with the Replicant, so far as he hath spoken to the matter, I should now come to his emergent, strange, calumnious speeches, against the persons of such and such men, but this were: Caninos rodere dentes. I forbeare it, only rehearing some raylings,

which need no answer but themselves.

The two houses are generally railed at, as guilty of Rebellion against the King. All adherents to Parliament are railed at, as Anabaptists, Separatists, &c. The Lord Major is railed at, for preventing bloudfied in the City, when the Petitioners under the pretence of seeking for Peace, had many of them plotted dissention, and this his Office is stilled the stiffling of peace in the womb.

The

(31)

The City Preachers are railed at, for fatisfying our Consciences in the justifiable nessed a detentive war, for this they are charged to light against the King on the searce of God, and to turn the spiritual Mitis into neapons of the sless. The framer of the Answer is rayled at or giving the Petitioners just satisfaction in peaceable language. Though his words be consisted to be softer than oyle, yet its said, that the oylon of Aspes is under his lips; he is called a Cataline, the sirebrand of its Countrey, whose sophistry and eloquence was sit to disturbe a State, but unable to compose or setle it.

The judgment of all these things is now submitted to the world, what the intent of the Petition was, in some master-plotters and contrivers of it, will appeare by the arguments of this sell Replicant. Whereby it is now seconded. That the name of an Accomolation was pretended to force the two Houses under colour theros, o cast themselves upon a meer submission, or to be made odicus, and ookt upon as soes to peace, which was a Scilla on one side, and Charles before the other) is here manifested. Whether the Answer to the Petition savour of so much malice and enmity to peace, as this Replication does, let indifferent men censure,

Lastly, whether the soule of that man which thirsts for a firme Peace, may not dislike these practises of pretending to it; and the onle of that man which hates peace, may not make advantage of the

name of peace, let all wife men proveand examine.

FINIS.







